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Minimum ignition energy for turbulent droplet-laden mixtures
Introduction: Motivation

- Localised forced ignition (spark or laser) plays a dominant role in transportation and energy production
  - Applications in the Internal Combustion (IC) engines, and Gas turbines
  - Important from the point of view of accidental explosion and fire safety
  Hence, the importance of fully understanding the ignition phenomenon
- Ignition not only initiates combustion but also influences subsequent burning
- Droplet laden mixture combustion includes
  - The evaporation of the liquid droplets
  - Interaction of the droplets and fuel vapour with the flame and flow field is a complex phenomenon
  - A complex coupling between combustion, heat transfer, fluid dynamics.
Introduction: Objectives

❖ To demonstrate and explain the influences of initial droplet diameter, global equivalence ratio (total number of droplets present) and turbulence intensities on the Minimum Ignition Energy (MIE) of droplet laden mixtures.

❖ To subsequently find and illustrate the sensitivity of the MIE on the above parameters
Liquid Phase:

Lagrangian Approach is used for droplets following the approach proposed by Reveillon & Vervisch.

- Position: \( \frac{d\vec{x}_d}{dt} = \vec{u}_d \)
- Velocity: \( \frac{d\vec{u}_d}{dt} = \frac{\vec{u}(\vec{x}_d,t) - \vec{u}_d}{\tau_d^u} \)
- Diameter: \( \frac{d\alpha_d}{dt} = -\frac{\alpha_d^2}{\tau_d^p} \)
- Temperature: \( \frac{dT_d}{dt} = \frac{\dot{T}(\vec{x}_d,t) - T_d - B_d L_v / C_p^g}{\tau_d^T} \)

\( L_v \) is the latent heat of vaporization
\( B_d \) is the Spalding mass transfer number
\( C_p^g \) is the gaseous specific heats at constant pressure

Relaxation time scales associated with droplet:
- Velocity, \( \tau_d^u \)
- Diameter, \( \tau_d^p \)
- Temperature, \( \tau_d^T \)

Gaseous Phase:

**Eulerian Approach** is used to solve for gas phase combustion.

Coupling between two phases:

$$\frac{\partial \rho \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_j \psi}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma_\psi \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_j} \right) + \dot{w}_\psi + \dot{S}_g + \dot{S}_\psi$$

$$\psi_1 = \{1, u_j, \hat{T}, Y_F, Y_O\} \text{ for } \psi = \{1, u_j, e, Y_F, Y_O\}$$

$$\Gamma_\psi = \rho v / \sigma_\psi \text{ for } \psi = \{1, u_j, Y_F, Y_O\} \text{ and } \Gamma_\psi = \lambda \text{ for } \psi = e$$

$\dot{w}_\psi$ is chemical reaction rate,

$\dot{S}_g$ is an appropriate source/sink term and

$\dot{S}_\psi$ is *source term due to droplet evaporation*, which is tri-linearly interpolated from the droplet’s sub-grid position, $\vec{x}_d$, to the eight surrounding nodes.
**Mathematical Background**

**Gaseous Phase:**

*Eulerian Approach* is used to solve for gas phase combustion.

Addition of a source term to the energy equation to account for the energy deposition by the spark

\[
\frac{\partial \rho E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_j E}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} u_k P + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \tau_{ki} u_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_k} \right] + \dot{w}_T + \dot{S}_\psi + q'''
\]

- The source term (spark energy) follows a Gaussian profile

\[
q''' = A_q \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{2R_{sp}^2} \right) \quad \dot{Q} = a_{sp} \rho_0 C_P \tau T_0 \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi l_f^3 \right) \left[ \frac{H(t) - H(t - t_{sp})}{t_{sp}} \right]
\]

\[
\dot{Q} = \int_V q''' \, dV \quad t_{sp} = b_{sp} t_f
\]

\[
\tau = \frac{(T_{ad(\phi=1.0)} - T_0)}{T_0}
\]

- $a_{sp}$ - Total ignition energy input parameter
- $b_{sp}$ - Energy deposition duration parameter
- $R_{sp}$ - Characteristic width parameter
Numerical Implementation

- Compressible 3D DNS code Senga\(^1\)
- Uniform Cartesian grid
- All boundaries are considered to be non-reflecting, pointwise inflow-outflow
- Initial turbulent field is generated by a pseudo-spectral method\(^2\) using Batchelor-Townsend Spectrum\(^3\)
- Spatial differentiation – 10th order central difference scheme
- Time advancement – Explicit low-storage 3rd order Runge-Kutta scheme

---

2 R.S. Rogallo, *NASA Ames Research Centre* (1990)
• Droplets are treated as sub-grid point sources.
• A modified single-step Arrhenius-type irreversible chemical reaction for the n-heptane droplets investigated in the present study:

\[
\text{Fuel} + s \cdot \text{Oxidiser} \rightarrow (1 + s)\text{Products}
\]

Variation of the (a) normalised laminar burning velocity \(\frac{S_b(\phi_g)}{S_{b,\max}}\) and (b) normalised adiabatic flame temperature \(T_b(\phi_g) = \frac{T_{ad}(\phi_g) - T_0}{T_{ad}(\phi_g=1) - T_0}\) with equivalence ratio \(\phi_g\) for n-heptane obtained from modified single step chemistry (Tarrazo et al., 2006), detailed chemical mechanism (Chaos et al., 2007) and experimental (Kumar et al., 2007) data.
Numerical Implementation

- Domain size of $9.6l_t \times 9.6l_t \times 9.6l_t$ equivalent to $51\delta_z \times 51\delta_z \times 51\delta_z$ (where $\delta_z = D_0/S_{L(\phi_g=1)}$ is the Zel’’dovich flame thickness)

- Cartesian grid of $264 \times 264 \times 264$, ensures:
  - 10 grid points across the thermal flame thickness
  - $\eta_k > \Delta x$ where $\eta_k$ is the Kolmogorov length scale

- Spark location is at the centre of the domain

- Energy deposition duration ($b_{sp}$) and width ($R_{sp}$) kept constant, only spark power ($a_{sp}$) is varied to find the MIE
Parameters Investigated

- Three turbulence intensities, overall equivalence ratios and initial droplet diameters
  - $u'/S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)} = 0.0, 4.0, 8.0$ (U00,U04,U08 resp.)
  - $\phi_{ov} = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$ (F08,F10,F12 resp.)
  - $a_d/\delta_{th} = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05$ (D03,D04,D05 resp.)

With all parameter combinations giving rise to a total of 27 cases

- N-heptane droplets initial temperature, $T_0 = 300K$, yielding a heat release parameter of $\tau = 6.54$
- Atmospheric pressure conditions
- $a_d/\eta_k = 0.22, 0.29, 0.36$ for $a_d/\delta_{th} = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05$ respectively, thus sub-grid evaporation is not expected to significantly affect the ignition phenomena and subsequent flame-droplet interaction.
Direct Numerical Simulations

• Simulations carried out to evaluate the MIE for both Ignition and Propagation

➢ Successful Ignition - refers to a situation where $T_{max}$ surpasses $T_{ad}$ at any point in time. If $T_{max}$ does not reach $T_{ad}$, it is referred to as a misfire. Simulations run a successful ignition is observed ($t = 3t_{sp}$).

➢ Successful Propagation – obtained when the flame kernel burns without the aid of the ignitor after a successful ignition. It is determined by evaluating the temporal evolution of the burned gas volume, and if its temporal derivative is positive at $t = 10t_{sp}$, a successful self sustained propagation is obtained, otherwise it is considered to be quenched.
Results: Flame-turbulence interaction

- Non-dimensional temperature:

\[ T = \frac{\hat{T} - T_0}{T_{ad}(\phi_g=1) - T_0} \]

\( \hat{T} \) is the instantaneous dimensional temperature, 
\( T_0 \) is the unburned gas temperature, 
\( T_{ad}(\phi_g=1) \) is the adiabatic flame temperature for stoichiometric mixture

- Normalised energy deposited by the spark:

\[ \Gamma = I_E / MIE_{l}^{\phi_g=1.0} \]

\( I_E \) is the energy deposited by ignitor
\( MIE_{l}^{\phi_g=1.0} \) is the MIE for self sustained propagation of a laminar n-heptane premixed stoichiometric mixture

Isosurfaces of \( T \) at peak heat release coloured by reaction rate.
Results: Flame-turbulence interaction

- **U00D05F12 at** $t = 8.0t_{sp}$
  - The kernel retains its spherical structure
  - Droplets induce flame wrinkling, otherwise known as “dimpling”

- **U08D03F08 at** $t = 5.0t_{sp}$ **and** $8.0t_{sp}$
  - The extent of “dimpling” is dominated over by the effects of turbulence intensity
  - Kernel is warped and deformed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u'/S_L(\phi_g=1.0)$</th>
<th>$a_d/\delta_{st}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{ov}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 4.0, 8.0</td>
<td>0.03, 0.04, 0.05</td>
<td>0.8, 1.0, 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: MIE Variation

- As $u'/S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)}$ increases so does $\Gamma_{MIE}^{i/p}$, and the effects of turbulence dominate over the effects induced by changes in $a_d$ and $\phi_{ov}$, and this is most visible for $\Gamma_{MIE}^p$

- To observe the effects that $a_d$ and $\phi_{ov}$ have on $\Gamma_{MIE}^{i/p}$ it is instructive to observe two sets off cases:
  - The laminar cases for $\Gamma_{MIE}^i$
  - The cases with $u'/S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)} = 8.0$ for $\Gamma_{MIE}^p$

---

U00, U04, U08 ➔ $u'/S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)} = 0.0, 4.0, 8.0$
D03, D04, D05 ➔ $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05$
F08, F10, F12 ➔ $\phi_{ov} = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$
Results: MIE Variation

- The laminar cases for $\Gamma_{MIE}^i$:
  - As $\phi_{ov}$ increases, $\Gamma_{MIE}^i$ decreases
  - As $a_d$ increases, $\Gamma_{MIE}^i$ increases

- The cases with $u' / S_L(\phi_g=1.0) = 8.0$ for $\Gamma_{MIE}^p$:
  - As $\phi_{ov}$ increases, $\Gamma_{MIE}^p$ decreases
  - As $a_d$ increases, $\Gamma_{MIE}^p$ increases

The selected cases shown best illustrate these effects, however these observations hold across all cases investigated here.
Results: Driving Physical Effects

- Igniting droplet-laden mixtures require more energy than igniting a homogenous mixture
  - The extra energy is initially required to evaporate the droplets, subsequently allowing for ignition
  - In turn as the kernel propagates, it has to overcome the losses due to turbulence, that would be present for a kernel propagating in a homogenous mixture, but also has to evaporate the droplets

| U00, U04, U08 | \( \frac{u'}{S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)}} = 0.0, 4.0, 8.0 \) |
| D03, D04, D05 | \( a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05 \) |
| F08, F10, F12 | \( \phi_{ov} = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 \) |
Results: Driving Physical Effects

- As $u'/S_{L(\phi_g=1.0)}$ increases (top to bottom), the peaks off the PDFs of $\phi_g$ slightly shift towards leaner conditions for the same droplet diameter and overall equivalence ratio.

Probability density functions (PDF’s) of the gaseous equivalence ratio ($\phi_g$), extracted at $t = 5t_{sp}$, over the range $0.1 < c < 0.9$.
Results: Driving Physical Effects

- As $a_d$ increases (left to right), the gaseous mixture becomes increasingly leaner, and for $a_d/\delta_{th} = 0.05$ the PDFs peak outside the lean flammability limit (flammability limits indicated by the vertical dashed lines).

- This is due to larger droplets requiring more energy to be evaporated.

- As $\phi_{ov}$ increases, the probability of finding $\phi_g$ close to unity increases.

- This allows for easier ignition and propagation, in turn corresponding to smaller $\Gamma_{MIE}^{i/p}$ requirements.

### Parameters

- $u'/S_{\nu(\phi_g=1.0)} = 0.0, 4.0, 8.0$
- $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.03, 0.04, 0.05$
- $\phi_{ov} = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$
The MIE for uniformly dispersed n-heptane droplet-laden mixtures in homogenous isotropic turbulence has been numerically evaluated for three different turbulence intensities, overall equivalence ratios and initial droplet diameters, giving rise to the below observations:

❖ A smaller initial droplet diameter or a higher initial global equivalence ratio, reduces the MIE requirement and is beneficial towards successful ignition and subsequent self sustained propagation.

❖ The MIE has been found to increase with increasing $u'$, and a significant increase of the MIE was observed for large turbulence intensities, in line with previous experimental and computational MIE studies.

❖ The MIE required for droplet laden mixtures, is higher than the relevant MIE required for a corresponding homogeneous mixture, due to the extra energy required to evaporate the droplets.

❖ 1st order effect – $u'$
❖ 2nd order effects - $a_d$ and $\phi_{ov}$
A DNS analysis of turbulent V-flames propagating into droplet-laden mixtures
To demonstrate the evolution of the flame structure at different axial locations from the flame holder for rod-stabilised V-flames in droplet-laden mixtures.

To indicate and explain the influences of droplet diameter on the reaction zone structure and flame propagation characteristics at different axial locations from the flame holder.
**Numerical Implementation**

**Simulation Parameters:**

- **Domain:** \((63.3\delta_z)^3\) (where \(\delta_z = a_{T0}/S_b(\phi_g=1)\) is the Zel’dovich flame thickness)
- **Grid number:** \((384)^3\)
- **Equivalence ratio:** \(\phi_d = 1.0\)
- **Initial rms:** \(u'/S_b(\phi_g=1) = 2.0\)
- **Longitudinal integral length-scale:** \(L_{11}/\delta_{th} = 2.5\)
- **mean inlet velocity of** \(\bar{u}_{mean}/S_b(\phi_g=1) = 5.0\)
- **Holder position** \((x,y): (120\Delta x, 192\Delta y)\)
- **Heat release parameter:** \(\tau = 6.4\)
- **Droplet diameter:** \(a_d/\delta_{th} = 0.04, 0.05\) and \(0.06\)
- **Initial reacting flow field is generated by using a commercial software called as COSILAB**

Reaction progress variable iso-surfaces at \(c = 0.1, 0.5\) and \(0.9\) (from blue to red) for \(a_d/\delta_{th} = 0.06\) (where \(a_d\) and \(\delta_{th}\) are initial droplet diameter and thermal flame thickness respectively), at \(t = 2t_{flow}\).
Results: Flame-turbulence interaction

Instantaneous fields of gaseous equivalence ratio, $\phi_g$ (blue lines show $c = 0.1$, 0.5 and 0.9 contours from outer to inner periphery) for initial $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.04, 0.05, 0.06$ at $t = 2.0t_{\text{flow}}$. Grey dots show the droplets residing on the plane (not to the scale).

- droplets evaporate
- release fuel in the gaseous phase
- gaseous fuel burns within the flame front
- as droplets approach the flame
- droplets shrink in size
Results: Flame Brush Thickness (FBT)

**Contours of \( \bar{c} = 0.1 \) (solid line), 0.5 (dashed line) and 0.9 (dotted line) contours. The dashed purple lines show the sampling locations (A, B, C), and the dotted black line shows the flame center.**

- Favre averaging in time (i.e. over the time of \( t_{flow} \)) and space (i.e. spanwise z-direction)
- FBT increases in the downstream direction from the flame holder.
- FBT is greater for cases with smaller droplet diameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha_d/\delta_{st} )</th>
<th>0.04</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBT at A</td>
<td>3.53( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>3.43( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>3.21( \delta_{st} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBT at B</td>
<td>4.34( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>4.06( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>3.72( \delta_{st} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBT at C</td>
<td>4.74( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>4.40( \delta_{st} )</td>
<td>4.04( \delta_{st} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta )</td>
<td>10.2°</td>
<td>11.0°</td>
<td>11.4°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Gaseous equivalence ratio

PDF of $\phi_g$ in the region corresponding to $0.01 \leq c \leq 0.99$ at different locations A, B and C

❖ The rate of burning, flame spread and both flamelet and flame brush thicknesses are dependent on the mixture composition within the flame.

❖ A high probability of having $\phi_g \approx 1.0$ for small droplet cases with initial $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.04$ and 0.05.

❖ A mild peak at $\phi_g = 1.0$ at all locations but the probability of obtaining $\phi_g < 1.0$ remains greater than for the cases with small droplets.
Results: Flame-droplet interaction

Instantaneous views of $c = 0.5$ isosurface coloured with $\kappa_m \times \delta_{st}$ values for initial $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.04, 0.05, 0.06$ at $t = 2.0t_{flow}$.

- A smoother surface for smaller droplets due to their faster evaporation rate ahead of the flame.
- The flame surfaces for large droplet cases are significantly disturbed by droplets and exhibit dimples with negative local curvature values.
- Case with initial $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.06$ exhibits higher probability of finding high positive curvature values than the cases with initial $a_d/\delta_{st} = 0.04$ and 0.05.
Results: Flame-turbulence interaction

The mean values of $S_c/S_b(\phi_g=1)$ and $S^*_d/S_b(\phi_g=1)$ on the $c = 0.8$ isosurface at locations A-C.

The mean $S_c/S_b(\phi_g=1)$ and $S^*_d/S_b(\phi_g=1)$ for a given value of $a_d/\delta_{st}$ remain comparable at locations A, B and C.

- $a_d/\delta_{st}$
- The mean $S_c/S_b(\phi_g=1)$
- $S^*_d/S_b(\phi_g=1)$

displacement speed:

$S_d = \frac{[\nabla \cdot (\rho D\nabla c) + \dot{w}_c + \dot{S}_c + \dot{A}_c]}{\rho |\nabla c|}$

density-weighted displacement speed: $S^*_d = \rho S_d/\rho_0$
The flame propagation behaviour for V-shaped turbulent flames in n-heptane droplet-laden mixtures has been numerically evaluated for three different initial droplet diameters and the axial distance from the flame holder giving rise to the below observations:

❖ The **flame brush thickness** is affected by both **droplet diameter** and **the axial distance** from the flame holder.

❖ The predominance of finding **fuel-lean mixture** within the flame decreases in the downstream direction due to evaporation of droplets and this effect is particularly strong for large droplets.

❖ The mean values of **consumption speed** are found to decrease with increasing droplet diameter because of greater likelihood of **fuel-lean combustion** in large droplet cases.
Ignition kernel development and subsequent flame propagation in a planar methane/air turbulent jet
Chemistry validation

- Preferred over the classical modified one-step chemistry:\n  - Captures the flame speed accurately over the whole flammability range
  - Good prediction of the flame expansion in rich mixtures
  - Better characteristics for the prediction of auto-ignition and quenching

\[1\] Fernandez-Tarazzo, E. et al., Combust. Flame 147, pp. 32-38 (2006)
**Simulation parameters:**

- Slot (jet) width: \( h = 7.8\delta_{th} \)
- Computational Domain: \( 37h \times 37h \times 37h \) (1920×990×225 cells) and \( h/\Delta x = 52 \)
- Boundary conditions: Periodic in transverse (y/z), NSCBC partially-reacting outflow, fully-reacting inflow (imposed \( u, Y_k, T, \rho \) using a scanning plane in \( Re_\tau = 395 \) channel flow)
- Simulation time (reacting): 
  \( t_{sim} \approx 80t_{sp} \approx 60t_j \) (main) and 
  \( t_{sim} \approx 20t_{sp} \approx 15t_j \) (stochasticity)

**Inflow and thermo-chemistry:**

- Inlet velocity:
  - \( U_b = 23.5s_l^0 \)
  - \( U_c = 0.1U_b = 2.35s_l^0 \)
  - \( Re = (\rho_0U_bh)/\mu_0 = 650 \)
- Inlet Composition:
  - \( X_f = 0.275, X_o = 0.725 \)
  - \( Y_{CH_4} = 0.174, Y_{O_2} = 0.233 \)
- Thermo: \( \tau = 4.5, T_0 = 415K, \gamma = 1.4 \)
- Transport: \( Pr = Sc = 0.7 \) (\( Le = 1 \))
- Spark: \( a_{sp} = 10, b_{sp} = 0.34, R_{sp}/\delta_{th} = 1 \)
Numerical Implementation

Energy deposition parameters

- Positions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pos</th>
<th>$x^+$</th>
<th>$y^+$</th>
<th>$z^+$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\xi}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\xi}/\xi_{st}$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{F}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Time: $t_{inj}/t_j \approx 0(a), 20(b), 40(c), 60(d)$
Mathematical Background

- \( N_y = \frac{\nabla Y_f}{|\nabla Y_f|} \), \( N_z = \frac{\nabla \xi}{|\nabla \xi|} \)

- \( T_1 = \frac{N_y \times N_z}{|N_y \times N_z|}, T_2 = N_z \times T_1 \)

- \( s_d = -\frac{\dot{\omega}_c + \nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla Y_f)}{\rho |\nabla Y_f|}, s_z = -\frac{\nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla \xi)}{\rho |\nabla \xi|} \)

- \( s_e = V_e \cdot T_2 = \frac{s_d - k s_z}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \) with \( k = N_y \cdot N_z \)

- Laminar value\(^1\): \( s_e^{theo} \approx s_l^0 \sqrt{1-\tau} \approx 2.35 s_l^0 \)

- \( W_e = \frac{u \cdot T_2}{|T_2|} + s_e \) and \( W_{e,x} = W_e \times T_{2,x} \)

---

Results: Kernel evolution - 3 mains phases - Case 0a

- **Ignition**:  
  - Early growth sustained by the large temperature values  
  - Near spherical shape at the end of ignition

- **Radial growth and advection**:  
  - Advection of the kernel downstream  
  - Increased growth rate due to the propagation of the downstream edge  
  - Growth in the transverse direction (low SDR values)  
  - Triple flame structure appears in a shear layer

- **Edge flame propagation and onset of stabilisation**:  
  - Multiple triple flame structures  
  - Flame sheet almost spans the whole jet width  
  - Edge flames start to propagate upstream onset of stabilisation
Results: Edge flame evolution - Case 0a

- Kernel initially near spherical and formed of a single flame sheet
- Local extinctions occurs in regions that are beyond the lean/rich flammability limits
- Edge flame structure appears in regions of low SDR
- Longer premixed rich branch than the lean one and almost negligible non-premixed branch
- Survives in region of very high SDR values
Results: Temporal evolution of some statistics

• Flame height (measured with $T$ or $\dot{V}_T$)
  ➢ Increases after energy deposition
  ➢ Starts to propagate when the edge flame structure is fully established
  ➢ Converges towards a single value ($x^+ \approx 18 - 20$) for all initial locations

• Mean edge flame speed
• Mean edge flame absolute displacement speed
Results: Temporal evolution of some statistics

- Flame height (measured with $T$ or $\dot{w}_T$)
- Mean edge flame speed
  - Converges towards a single value: $\langle s_e |_{ef} \rangle / (s_e^{theo}) \approx 2 - 3$
  - Positive influence of SDR, $Y_f$ and $\xi$ curvatures and $u'$ on $s_e$
- Mean edge flame absolute displacement speed
Results: Temporal evolution of some statistics

- Flame height (measured with $T$ or $\dot{w}_T$)
- Mean edge flame speed
- Mean edge flame absolute displacement speed
  - $\langle W_{,xe}\rangle_{ef}/s_l^0 \leq 0$ → edge flame propagates upstream
  - $-5 \leq \langle W_{,xe}\rangle_{ef}/s_l^0 \leq 8$
  - Large positive values initially for cases 0a and 1a → rapid convection downstream
Kernel growth and early stages of flame propagation in a planar methane/air turbulent jet has been numerically evaluated for different energy depositing position and timing, giving rise to the below observations:

❖ Ignition and propagation qualitatively similar for all cases

❖ Edge flame behaviour:
  ➢ Large influence on the success of ignition/propagation
  ➢ If the growing kernel transition to a set of tribrachial flame → successful propagation
  ➢ Mean edge flame speed relatively fast \( \langle s_{e|ef} \rangle / \langle s_{e|th} \rangle \approx 2 - 3 \) → locally the edge flame propagates faster than the flow and the flame base stabilises.

❖ For low values of \( x^+ \), local conditions are very important.

❖ Different modes of failure captured (quenching due to local mixture composition, SDR value, no transition to edge flame, etc.)
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