**UKCTRF 2021** 

1 December 2021

# Flowfield and Flame Structure during Thermoacoustic Intermittency

Experimental and Computational Study in a Turbulent Swirl Stabilized Combustor

Stathis Karlis, Yannis Hardalupas, Alex M. K. P. Taylor

D. Noh, E. Karlis, S. Navarro-Martinez, Y. Hardalupas, A.M.K.P. Taylor, D. Fredrich, W.P. Jones

# **Focal points**

- Intermittency: precedes triggering of thermoacoustic oscillations (limit cycle).
- Intermittent bursts arise stochastically, hence it is difficult to predict their occurrence.
- It is important to gain knowledge of the flow/combustion phenomena causing the intermittent bursts.

Focal points:

- The flame precesses under the influence of the PVC before intermittent thermoacoustic bursts.
- The flame front becomes increasingly more wrinkled during transition into intermittency.

# Structure

- $\succ$  Model gas turbine configuration and experimental instrumentation.
- ➢ Isothermal flow structure and quiescent flow and flame structure
- Flame and flowfield structure during intermittent transitions into thermoacoustic instabilities
- ➤ (Link between thermoacoustic dynamics and flame front curvature).
- Calculation of this flow



# Dynamic pressure signal time series and phase space structure



• Short term prediction techniques such as the permutation entropy are employed to detect the triggering of a thermoacoustic instability.

### Description of dynamic states: Focus on the intermittent regimes

Global operational quantities:

Bulk Reynolds number Re = 19000 Equivalence ratio  $\phi$ =0.55

On increasing extinction strain rate, the dynamics are driven from quiescent through intermittency into a limit cycle.

Karlis, E., Liu, Y. Hardalupas, Y., & Taylor, A. M. (2019d)

H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub> blends in lean premixed gas turbine combustion. <u>Fuel</u>, <u>254</u>, <u>115524</u>

Mixture ID	Methane molar percentage χ:CH4	Hydrogen molar percentage χ:H <sub>2</sub>	Extinction Strain Rate [1/s]	Dynamic State
Case A	1.000	0.000	273	Susceptible to blow off
Case B	0.900	0.100	652	Quiescent, lifted
Case C	0.800	0.200	759	Quiescent, lifted
Case D	0.700	0.300	1127	Intermittent
Case E	0.650	0.350	1250	Intermittent
Case F	0.625	0.375	1350	Intermittent
Case G	0.600	0.400	1540	Limit Cycle

Experimental configuration-Instrumentation



#### Experimental Configuration Measurement of fundamental quantities



M1, M2: Monitor pressure drop across Venturi nozzle.

M2, M3: Acoustic wave amplitude inside duct (2-mic method)

M4: Standing wave along combustor

M5 (not shown): Pressure in fuel supply line



<u>Measurement techniques:</u> High speed CH\* imaging (3000 Hz), High speed PIV (3000 Hz), OH-LIF (phase conditioned with acoustics)

### **Experimental Configuration** Swirler and Optically Accessible Combustion Window

Μ

PM 📎

# **PIV Optical Configuration**

# **PLIF** Optical Configuration

Centerbody.

CL3

CL1

U

Power

Meter

Dve Laser

6g

Rhodamine

Diffuser.

CL5

Combustor

PLIF

Window

Air + Fuel

Swirler

Beam

Dump



dt=4µs, 3000 Hz, FOV: 60 mm x 60 mm, Interrogation windows 16 x 16 pixels, 75% overlap, Resolution: 0.23 mm, Nominal uncertainty: 0.06 m/s. Cut-off Lengthscale in the flow=0.20mm (Poinsot 1991).

10 Hz, excitation  $Q_1(6)$  transition line:  $A^2\Sigma$ - $X^2\Pi$  at 282.9 nm, light collected at 308 nm and 314 nm, dye laser output: 18mJ per pulse, field of view 35 mm x 35 mm, resolution of 5 line pairs / mm (curvature resolution)

Isothermal flowfield and quiescent flame structure

# Isothermal flowfield structure



<u>Axial-radial plane</u>: isothermal flow features a recirculation zone with no downstream stagnation point, extending through all section of the PIV FOV

# **Experimental Configuration** Isothermal flowfield dynamic coherent structures (PVC)

-20

300

400

mm



### Experimental Configuration

Isothermal flowfield dynamic coherent structures (PVC)

# Mie Signal

# POD Modes (Normalized Vorticity)



# Quiescent flame structure Case C ( $\chi_{H2}$ =0.20)



#### Long exposure image of a stable lean and elongated flame

- Flow structure similar to the isothermal.
- Flame anchoring within low strain rate regions



Flame and flowfield structure during triggering of intermittent thermoacoustic bursts



Ð

### Description of dynamic state transitions Case D ( $\chi_{H2}$ =0.30) Instances of flame helical motion during thermoacoustic bursts

### Intermittent Flame Dynamics



# Description of dynamic state transitions Case D ( $\chi_{H2}$ =0.30)

Precession as extracted via DMD





### **Description of dynamic state transitions** Breakdown of transition events: Pre-triggering



Initiation of helical motion of recirculation zone under the effect of helical coherent structures along the shear layers.

Initial formation of downstream axial stagnation point in the recirculation zone

#### **Description of dynamic state transitions** Breakdown of transition events: Thermoacoustic burst



Convection of high axial velocity amplitude disturbance causing the recirculation zone to form a downstream axial stagnation point.

The downstream convection of the disturbance by the bulk flow decreases the axial and radial extent of the recirculation zone.

When the axial extent of the recirculation zone is minimum the dynamic pressure is maximum

### **Description of dynamic state transitions** Breakdown of transition events: Requiescence



# Description of dynamic state transitions Case D ( $\chi_{H2}$ =0.30)

Spatial mean and standard deviations of turbulent intensity



- The spatial mean and standard deviations of the turbulent intensities of the flowfield demonstrate <u>spikes</u> <u>that coincide with the</u> <u>thermoacoustic bursts.</u>
- <u>The burning rate increases</u> and the range of scales, with which the flame interacts, widens upon transitioning into thermoacoustic instability.



# **Description of dynamic state transitions**

### Imperial College London

Flame front curvature characteristics of intermittent flame via OH-PLIF measurements

Quiescent flames-straight and elongated.



Transitional flames-more wrinkled and with greater radial extent









Burned Unburned Positive curvature

Reminder: Curvature convention

# 4000 2000 p' [Pa] 0 -2000 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 T[s] Limit cycle instance

Distinction between dynamic states: <u>Quiescent</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub> < 300 Pa <u>Transitional</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at most</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles <u>Limit cycle</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at least</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles

Description of dynamic state transitions	
Flame front curvature characteristics of intermittent flame via OH-PLIF measurem	hen

Case ID Thermoacoustic state	<b>κ</b> : Mean curvature	σ: Standard deviation	μ <sub>3</sub> : skewness	μ <sub>4</sub> : kurtosis
D: Quiescent	0.115	1.108	0.091	5.045
D: Transitional	0.167	1.128	0.095	4.794
D: Limit Cycle	-0.036	1.416	-0.036	4.472
E : Quiescent	0.127	1.316	0.048	5.125
E: Transitional	0.087	1.330	0.047	4.755
E: Limit Cycle	-0.048	1.408	-0.031	4.577
F: Quiescent	0.122	1.264	0.027	5.344
F: Transitional	0.089	1.296	0.028	5.120
F: Limit Cycle	-0.024	1.402	-0.013	4.598

Upon transitioning from quiescence towards a limit cycle: Mean curvature decreases towards near zero negative values

# 4000 2000 p' [Pa] 0 -2000 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 T[s] Limit cycle instance

Distinction between dynamic states: <u>Quiescent</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub> < 300 Pa <u>Transitional</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at most</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles <u>Limit cycle</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at least</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles

#### **Description of dynamic state transitions** Flame front curvature characteristics of intermittent flame via OH-PLIF measurements

Case ID Thermoacoustic state	<b>κ</b> : Mean curvature	σ: Standard deviation	μ <sub>3</sub> : skewness	μ <sub>4</sub> : kurtosis
D: Quiescent	0.115	1.108	0.091	5.045
D: Transitional	0.167	1.128	0.095	4.794
D: Limit Cycle	-0.036	1.416	-0.036	4.472
E : Quiescent	0.127	1.316	0.048	5.125
E: Transitional	0.087	1.330	0.047	4.755
E: Limit Cycle	-0.048	1.408	-0.031	4.577
F: Quiescent	0.122	1.264	0.027	5.344
F: Transitional	0.089	1.296	0.028	5.120
F: Limit Cycle	-0.024	1.402	-0.013	4.598
TT	• • • •		1 1	

Upon transitioning from quiescence towards a limit cycle: Standard deviation strongly increases.

# 4000 2000 P' [Pa] 0 -2000 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 T[s] Limit cycle instance

Distinction between dynamic states: <u>Quiescent</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub> < 300 Pa <u>Transitional</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at most</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles <u>Limit cycle</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at least</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles

# **Description of dynamic state transitions**

Flame front curvature characteristics of intermittent flame via OH-PLIF measurements

Case ID Thermoacoustic state	<b>κ</b> : Mean curvature	σ: Standard deviation	μ <sub>3</sub> : skewness	μ <sub>4</sub> : kurtosis	
D: Quiescent	0.115	1.108	0.091	5.045	
D: Transitional	0.167	1.128	0.095	4.794	
D: Limit Cycle	-0.036	1.416	-0.036	4.472	
E : Quiescent	0.127	1.316	0.048	5.125	
E: Transitional	0.087	1.330	0.047	4.755	
E: Limit Cycle	-0.048	1.408	-0.031	4.577	
F: Quiescent	0.122	1.264	0.027	5.344	
F: Transitional	0.089	1.296	0.028	5.120	
F: Limit Cycle	-0.024	1.402	-0.013	4.598	
Upon transitioning from quiescence towards a limit cycle: Standard deviation strongly increases.					

Upon transitioning from quiescence towards a limit cycle: Kurtosis decreases

# 4000 2000 p' [Pa] 0 -2000 2.4 2.6 2.8 3 T[s] Limit cycle range

Distinction between dynamic states: <u>Quiescent</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub> < 300 Pa <u>Transitional</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at most</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles <u>Limit cycle</u>: p'<sub>envelope</sub>>300 Pa for <u>at least</u> 20 thermoacoustic cycles

# **Description of dynamic state transitions**

Flame front curvature characteristics of intermittent flame via OH-PLIF measurements

Case ID Thermoacoustic state	<b>κ</b> : Mean curvature	σ: Standard deviation	μ <sub>3</sub> : skewness	μ <sub>4</sub> : kurtosis
D: Quiescent	0.115	1.108	0.091	5.045
D: Transitional	0.167	1.128	0.095	4.794
D: Limit Cycle	-0.036	1.416	-0.036	4.472
E : Quiescent	0.127	1.316	0.048	5.125
E: Transitional	0.087	1.330	0.047	4.755
E: Limit Cycle	-0.048	1.408	-0.031	4.577
F: Quiescent	0.122	1.264	0.027	5.344
F: Transitional	0.089	1.296	0.028	5.120
F: Limit Cycle	-0.024	1.402	-0.013	4.598

Flames that experience subcrical Hopf bifurcations gradually become more wrinkled while transitioning through the transitional thermoacoustic state towards the limit cycle.

# Summary 1/2: During a subcritical Hopf bifurcation, how does the flame and flowfield structure bifurcate?

- Intermittency is observed when the flame is able to penetrate into, and anchor at, the "Wavemaker" region, close to the inlet of the burner. To do so, the flame needs to overcome increased strain rates in this region of the flowfield.
- <u>Flames</u> to extinction strain rates are more susceptible to demonstrating subcritical Hopf bifurcations.
- Transition into instability in the model gas turbine combustor is associated with:
  - 1. the PVC which imposes helical disturbances on the flame and the recirculation zone.
  - 2. Loss of randomness in the dynamic state: can be employed to forewarn of triggering.
- The role of the PVC is crucial. It exists both for the isothermal and the quiescent flowfields and it can instigate ("kick the system") thermoacoustic bursts that are promoted mainly by the flame-wall interactions.

Summary 2/2: During a subcritical Hopf bifurcation, how does the flame and flowfield structure bifurcate?

- The flames of thermoacoustic systems demonstrating intermittency assume increasingly wrinkled forms. This is supported by both PIV and PLIF measurements:
  - 1. The standard deviation of the turbulent intensities during the transition into thermoacoustic instability increases.
  - 2. The standard deviation and the kurtosis of the flame front curvature increase.

# Summary 2/2: During a subcritical Hopf bifurcation, how does the flame and flowfield structure bifurcate?

- Note that we have made no mention of
  - any acoustic frequency, Because the limit cycle has not been established yet in order to make a case about harmonics and subharmonics
  - a PVC (settles at the subharmonic) because its emergence is dependent on the limit cycle phase.
    - The PVC is suppressed when the flame moves back into the centerbody and detaches from its downstream half due to the strain rate. The PVC on the limit cycle, and has also been corroborated in the literature, appears at a natural frequency of 255 Hz-during the limit cycle and gives a distinct heat release rate contribution at 85Hz the subharmonic. It is the difference between f-pvc and the fundamental of the acoustics.

# Motivation: Extinction strain rate is a mixture property collapsing dynamic state transitions (H<sub>2</sub> enriched CH<sub>4</sub> blends)



Karlis, E., Liu, Y. Hardalupas, Y., & Taylor, A. M. (2019d) H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub> blends in lean premixed gas turbine combustion: An experimental study on effects on flame shape and thermoacoustic oscillations dynamics. <u>Fuel</u>, 254, 115524

Supportive slides

### **Experimental Configuration**

Isothermal flowfield dynamic coherent structures (PVC)

# Mie Signal

# POD Modes (Normalized Vorticity)



**Experimental Configuration** 

Isothermal flowfield dynamic coherent structures (PVC)

# Mie Signal

# POD Modes (Normalized Vorticity)



Intermittency: Interpretation of transitional dynamics Phase space representation

### Quiescence-Intermittency-Limit Cycle Case study: H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub>

# Quiescence



<u>Dynamics:</u> Attracted to fixed point and demonstrate stochastic low amplitude pressure fluctuations.

### Quiescence-Intermittency-Limit Cycle Case study: H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub>

# Quiescence



#### Intermittency



<u>Dynamics:</u> Toroidal transition between limit cycle and fixed point. Emergence of coherent dynamics amidst a quiescent background.

### Quiescence-Intermittency-Limit Cycle Case study: H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub>



## Quiescence-Intermittency-Limit Cycle Case study: H<sub>2</sub> enrichment of CH<sub>4</sub>

